

Sample form, not for offline completion.

Visit <https://accesscr-cpir.awardsplatform.com> to enter.



C - Excellence in Consumer Partner Capacity Building

This award recognises outstanding examples of meaningful efforts to improve the capacity and confidence of consumers and researchers to partner.

Start here

Entry name

List the individual consumers involved in the partnership activity being nominated (optional)

We recognise partnerships involve teams. This is an opportunity to list the individual consumers involved, if you know their names.

List the research sector professionals involved in the partnership activity being nominated. (optional)

We recognise all partnerships involved multiple people. This is an opportunity to list any research sector professionals involved in the nominated activity

Once you click save on this tab, the entry is created and you will be able to come back to edit any saved information on that entry until you SUBMIT it, after which it will be locked.

The entry form does NOT automatically save each time you answer a question or make an edit.

Please save every time you answer or update a question or tab to avoid accidentally losing your work.

Please submit entries as soon as possible once completed, to avoid forgetting to submit before the deadline, and to avoid technical issues on the day entries close. We are unable to guarantee responding to all technical issues on the last day when there is likely to be a rush of entries.

Entries close 29 April 2025, 9AM AEST (Sydney time).

About the Nominator

Nominator's First Name

The nominator is the primary person we will communicate with about this nomination ie the person submitting the entry.

Nominator's Last Name

Nominator's email

Best contact phone number for Nominator (optional)

Your relationship to the nomination

▼

Self nomination

Internal nomination

External nomination

If you have a role in the partnership/project/team/activity being nominated, please choose SELF NOMINATION.

If you have observed the project/entry from the perspective of being in the same organisation as one of the partners, please choose INTERNAL NOMINATION

If you have no inside relationship or knowledge of the organisation/project/etc being nominated, but would like to enter their work, choose EXTERNAL NOMINATION

Where do you live (city and state)?

Do you have access to reliable internet, in the event you are invited to present live online as a finalist?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Sometimes

☐ I would likely asked someone else to present on my behalf that does have access to internet

This will not affect the scoring, but will help us work with you should you be selected as a finalist to present.

About the Nominee

The responses on this tab help orient the judges to the nature of the nomination and may assist them with scoring an entry.

No correspondence will be entered into the decisions made by judging panels.

List the individuals consumers that were a part of the partnership being nominated. (optional)

We understand that the activity/initiative/etc being nominated may involve multiple people. This is your opportunity to recognise all the people that were involved individually, if you know whom they were.

List the individual research sector professionals that were a part of the partnership activity being nominated (optional)

We understand that the activity/initiative/etc being nominated may involve multiple people. This is your opportunity to recognise the research sector professionals involved in this nomination individually, if you know who they are.

What is/was the intended remit/scope of the activity/group nominated?

- ☐ Global
- ☐ National
- ☐ State
- ☐ Organisation
- ☐ Department
- ☐ Multiple research projects/activity/processes
- ☐ Single research project/activity/process/person/group
- ☐ All Australian clinical trial sites for a single research project
- ☐ All Australian clinical trial sites for multiple studies, for a single sponsor
- ☐ Single clinical trial site + multiple research studies
- ☐ ...

For this nomination, who is the organisation leading/hosting/responsible for the nominated activity?

This could be, for example, a research network or organisation, consumer organisation, government agency, commercial entity, trial sponsor, or clinic/hospital department/trial site.

Is this nomination related to a specific research study?

- Write "No-Generic" if a generic activity (for example, mandatory for PhD students about partnering with consumers)
- Write the name of the research study in full if the nomination has something to do with a single study.
- Write "multiple research studies" if the nominated activity relates across a number of research studies.

For noting, where provided, the name of the research study will not be made public (to anyone outside of AccessCR, the Awards Steering Committee and judging panels), given there may be regulatory compliance, privacy or intellectual property concerns. The name of the study will only be used to assist with identifying and managing potential conflicts of interest, duplications, multi-category nominations of the same activity, multiple nominations of the same activity in one category by different nominators, etc.

Nominee's First name

The nominee is the person we will contact to accept or decline the nomination:

- For self-nominations (ie you have been involved in what is being nominated), category A, B, C, D, F = List another person involved in the nominated activity that can ideally also double check for inaccuracies, private or confidential information and anything unlikely to comply with regulatory requirements in the entry, before it is made public.
- For self-nominations, category E = Choose a referee (preferably not related) that can endorse the contents of the nomination.
- If a research participant submits a nomination to category D = Ideally list the principle investigator at your site, or someone you regularly communicated with as the nominee.
- If this is NOT a self-nomination = List someone involved in the nominated activity/partnership who might be able accept the nomination and double check content for inaccuracies, private or confidential information and anything unlikely to comply with regulatory requirements in the entry, before it is made public.

As a reminder of the Award categories (in brief):

A = non-commercial research award

B = industry-funded research award

C = capacity-building award

D = research experience Award

E = the Award for Individual Consumers

F = The Award for Consumer Advisory Groups

Nominee's Last Name

Name of nominee's employer, for the purposes of this nomination.

Write 'not known' if you don't know the answer to this question.

Nominee's Email

Where is the nominee based (city and state)?

If you are not sure where the primary contact for your location is based, or the activity takes place, indicate 'I'm not sure'.

Is this nomination for something that is:

☐ Ongoing

☐ Completed in the past 2 years

It is preferable activities are completed so there has been some time to evaluate or reflect on their impact.

However it is OK if it is for an ongoing activity (for example, it's an ongoing advisory group, the individual nominated in Award E is still contributing, or an ongoing participant feedback mechanism), so long as you are able to describe through the video/accompanying text some type of impact from the activity (ie enough time has passed that there is reasonable evidence for the impact you are stating).

What setting (type of research) was the nominated activity conduct in/related to?

- ☐ Lab-based research
- ☐ Simulation/Modelling/In Silico Research
- ☐ Diagnostics Research
- ☐ Clinical research/clinical trials
- ☐ Translational Research
- ☐ Implementation Science Research
- ☐ Health Services Research
- ☐ Preventative Health Research
- ☐ Public Health Research
- ☐ Another type of research not listed
- ☐ ...

Tick "unsure" if you don't know what to select.

Else, please select a type of research (or "not specific to a type of research") as appropriate.

Is the nominated activity/partnership happening in relation to a specific health condition? Tick any that apply.

- ☐ Autoimmune
- ☐ Cancer
- ☐ Cardiovascular
- ☐ Endocrine
- ☐ Gastrointestinal
- ☐ Infectious
- ☐ Mental health
- ☐ Neurology
- ☐ Rare Diseases
- ☐ Respiratory
- ☐ ...

Tick "unsure" if you don't know what to select.

Else, please select a broad category of health condition (or 'Not health condition specific' if a generic activity).

For example,

- if a consumer advisory group contributes only to cancer research, select 'cancer'.
- if the partnership is co-designing a protocol for a multiple sclerosis clinical trial, choose 'neurology'.

For noting, these health condition categories are based on some international data standards (called CDISC). If you aren't sure what category something fits in, this [website](#) might help.

What was the nature of the capacity building initiative? (Tick any/all that apply)

- ☐ Policy/guideline/toolkit development
- ☐ Infrastructure
- ☐ Training and/or mentoring
- ☐ Practical consumer or researcher support
- ☐ Networks / Connections
- ☐ Other

List any and all Australian consumer organisations with a role (e.g. directly involved/supporting the activity, or the consumer partner is affiliated/represents them) in the activity/group being nominated.

- Write "unsure", if this is not a self-nomination and you are unsure what organisations are involved.
- Write "none: if there are no consumer organisations directly involved/represented or if the consumer partner(s) are independents (ie not representing or affiliated with an organisation in the role they are taking on in the activity described).

List any and all research, industry and/or government organisations whose Australian employees have a role of the activity being nominated.

- Write "unsure", If this is not a self-nomination and you are unsure what organisations are involved.
- Write "none", if there are none, or any 'employed' individuals are acting as independents rather than employees/representatives of a research-engaged organisation.

How experienced at the beginning of the nominated activity were the individual consumers at partnering in research?

- ☐ Never been consumer partners in health or research
- ☐ Experience as a consumer partner in health services, but not in research
- ☐ Limited experience as consumer partners in research
- ☐ Experienced consumer partners in research, but not for this type of task
- ☐ Experienced consumer partners in research, familiar with the tasks involved in this activity
- ☐ A mix of new and experienced consumer partners to research
- ☐ Other

How experienced were the research team/industry team/government team/etc involved in the nominated activity at partnering with consumers at the beginning of the activity?

- ☐ Never worked with consumer partners in health services or research
- ☐ Have worked with consumer partners in health services, but never in research
- ☐ Limited experience partnering with consumers in research
- ☐ Experienced at partnering with consumers in research (multiple projects, or long term partnering (> 2 years)
- ☐ A mix of new and experienced at partnering with consumers in research
- ☐ Other

Share if and how diversity was incorporated in the consumer partners (be specific about the nature of (optional) 100 diversity involved, eg language, ethnicity, culture, ability, location, gender, sex, age, vulnerability, words disadvantage, etc) (Max 100 words)

While this is NOT a primary scoring criteria, judging panels will have the discretion to take this information into account. They may identify the entry for a Special Mention, whether or not they are finalists/winners of a category, if there has been exceptional practice in engaging/partnering with those often underrepresented in research and creating safe, welcoming environments for partnership in research that could inspire others to engage more diversely.

Main Criteria

Approximately how many consumer partners (individuals) living in Australia are/were involved in this activity?

If the nomination covers a range of different activities, use the video to describe talk about who/how many people were involved in what activities.

Approximately how many non-consumer partners (individuals) living in Australia are/were involved in this activity?

This question asks about the number of research professionals, industry/government staff, etc involved in the nominated activity.

If the nomination covers a range of different activities, use the video to describe talk about who/how many people were involved in what activities.

Were there also organisations or individuals (consumers or non-consumers) living outside of Australia part of the activity described in the nomination?

Please list any individuals or organisations involved from outside Australia that you are aware of, for transparency.

Write "none", if this was a purely Australian partnership/activity.

In your opinion

, rate from 1 to 5 how easy it would be for someone to do what was described in this nomination in another setting (for example, a different organisation, site, health condition, type of research, of a different group of people), assuming the team were motivated to partner meaningfully?

☐ 1 (very easy)

☐ 2

☐ 3 (average difficulty)

☐ 4

☐ 5 (very complex)

1 = easy to apply broadly, limited \$/time

5 = complex, success was very specific to the team, resources available and environment/culture (e.g. alot of \$, significant time, lots of people, specific expertise held by partner/team/ organisation, significant leadership buy-in, significant risk-taking, established culture of partnership, very experienced consumer partners).

Please upload your video



As the video is the most important part of your entry, please read the requirements in the 'Video Tips' under Key Information before creating and uploading your video. Inappropriate videos will make an entry ineligible for judging.

Remember to focus in the video on describing the partnerships, experiences, individuals and groups (what, when, why, how, impact, learnings), not the science it may have been addressing. We are not assessing the quality or merit of the research itself.

The types of content you might consider including in your video for this Award Category can be found in 'Award Criteria + What judges Are Looking For' under Key Information.

If you can't cover everything you want the judges to know about your partnership in the video, then there is an additional field below where you can fill in any gaps.

(Note: you will be asked to declare any interests on the final checks tab, so you do not need to include them in the video).

PLEASE BE PATIENT. Video's may take some time to upload, especially if your internet is slow and the file is large. Don't click away from the page while it is uploading.

☐ Please check this box to confirm that every person in the video has consented to being in the video, and entering the video for these Awards.

You must document and date this consent locally and be able to produce it in the event we or someone else questions permissions.

Please upload a transcript (optional)



A transcript is optional (but useful) if the video already has captions, but is mandatory if you have had difficulty adding captions.

Accepted file formats are: .doc; .docx, and .pdf

Additional information, not contained in the video about the nominated activity/individual/group to be considered by the judging panel. (optional)

(Maximum 500 words)

This section should be used to provide information about the nomination ('it') NOT included in the video that you would like judges to know about 'it'.

It is optional to complete this question.

Final checks

Is there anything about this nomination you need to explain as background for the judging panel? (optional)

Hopefully you don't need to use this box. But, if there is something you would like to explain to the judges about your nomination (e.g. your relationship with the team, your lack of knowledge to be able to answer a specific question, the nature of the recording, etc), this is that chance. It will be at the discretion of the judging panel what they do with this information, and no correspondence will be entered into about judging panel decisions.

Please declare any interests you have related to this nomination or the Awards in general.

A declaration of interest does not prevent you entering the Awards. We just use them to try to minimise conflicts of interest in assessing the nomination.

Examples of the types of declarations you might like to make include (but are not limited to):

- you work in the same team, but not on this activity;
- you work or volunteer for organisation x (one of the partners)
- you are a judge for category x;
- you are on the Awards Steering Committee
- the organisation you work for is a financial/in-kind sponsor
- you work for a company selling the service described in the nomination
- the nominee asked you to make a nomination, and provided you will all the required information. (Given self-nomination is perfectly acceptable, this should not be required, as multiple entries for the same activity will not increase chance of winning).

NOMINATOR'S CONSENT

Please indicate below what you consent for AccessCR to do with the content of your entry post Awards.

- ☐ AccessCR is welcome to use the content of this entry without asking in any way that promotes consumer partnership or participant experiences in research, but does not misrepresent the spirit of the entry or those involved, for up to 3 years post Awards.
- ☐ AccessCR must contact me first for approval of each use case, before publicly sharing any of the content of this entry post Awards.
- ☐ I do not consent to AccessCR sharing the content of the entry publicly post Awards.
- ☐ I have another suggestion, please contact me to discuss post Awards.

The entry will be handled during the Awards process as described in the Awards Process under Key Information.

This question is about what we will do with the content post Awards.

Your answers to the consent question will not influence the way your nomination is judged.

One of the purposes of the Awards however is to collate content and examples to inspire and encourage what's possible in consumer partnership in research, and in creating gold-class research participant experiences. This purpose can only be realised if you support our efforts to share content respectfully and openly beyond the Awards, for others to engage with and learn from.

AccessCR would therefore like to request your permission to use the content of entries (including part or full videos, quotes, images) in on social media, training, advocacy efforts and/or in creating a free, publicly accessible resource of consumer partnership examples. We ask for this permission to last for 3 years beyond the 2025 Awards (unless consent is otherwise explicitly revoked, or extended) to promote and advocate for the value of improving the participant experience and consumer partnership in research.

We will always acknowledge the source of any content used.

You are of course able to share the content of your own entry at any time post Award, on any platform, or any way you choose (ie we do expect to exclusively own it).

You can change or revoke your consent preferences in writing to AccessCR at any time. AccessCR will not be able to destroy/remove any content posted with prior consent up to the time the consent preference is changed, but will respect the changed consent preferences moving forward.

Please tick all boxes to confirm you have understood some key messages and done everything required to enter (to the best of your knowledge).

- ☐ I understand I cannot nominate in a category that I am also a judge for or my organisation sponsors.
- ☐ This entry will not be submitted in another category.
- ☐ I have read the Key Information to help submit an appropriate entry.
- ☐ My nomination is about consumer partnership or participant experiences in Australia, involving people and organisations primarily located in Australia.
- ☐ My video is in landscape with clear sound and captioned/transcribed in English.
- ☐ I have completed all questions to the best of my knowledge.
- ☐ I have declared all my interests, as relevant to this nomination.
- ☐ A nominee/referee will see my nomination and need to accept it, for it to proceed to judging.
- ☐ I (or someone appropriate) must be available to present in the virtual finals live 12-13 Aug, if selected as a finalist, else the finalist position will be transferred to another entry.
- ☐ I understand judging panel decisions are final and cannot be challenged.
- ☐ I believe this entry meets all requirements. I am aware of the Awards Process and will accept its outcomes.

This tab will appear empty for entrants.

The content will only be visible to nominees, when they are asked to accept or decline the nomination.

Entrants can therefore ignore this tab.